## EXHIBIT B

## In The Matter Of:

Otto Bishop
vs.
The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., et al.

William J. Woehrle September 5, 2014

## MERRILL CORPORATION

LegaLink, Inc.

311 South Wacker Drive Suite 300 Chicago, IL 60606 Phone: 312.386.2000 Fax: 312.386.2275

82 84 dominates; whereas, for another particular type 1 1 understood. The consequences of what are well 2 2 of flash, height or width dominates. It understood? 3 depends on the flash. 3 And why don't I go ahead and 4 Q Okay. Going to your -- the portion of the 4 refer you to -- I'm looking at Page 3 of 16 on 5 insufficient skim rubber over the chafer 5 Exhibit 77. 6 fabric, do you know to what extent that 6 A Right. 7 7 Q And it's the paragraph that begins "such contributed to the slow leak? manufacturing defects" and that second sentence 8 A It contributed in a qualitative way that is 8 9 9 certainly in keeping with equivalent -- not says: 10 equal but equivalent to the flash but beyond 10 "Its nature and appearance 11 that, it's impossible to more precisely 11 as well as its consequences are 12 well understood." 12 quantify the relative contributions of those 13 two defects. 13 A Right. 14 Q Well, the consequences of what are well 14 Q And do you think that that was a -- that the 15 skim rubber over the chafer fabric, I think you 15 understood? 16 had said that that was a contributing factor? 16 A The consequences of defects like what we've 17 A I characterize the thin skim rubber to be a 17 been discussing were to remain in the tire and 18 contributing factor, yes. 18 sold, gone out the door. And out the door of the factory, that is. So yes, that's what I'm 19 Q You don't believe that was a proximate cause? 19 A Hypothetically, if only thin skim rubber 20 referring to when I make that statement. 20 existed in the absence of flash and non fill, 21 O So the consequences of excess flash and non 21 then I'm not sure that leakage would be a 22 fill are well understood; is that correct? 22 23 consequence. 23 A Well, I'm saying that in addition to its nature and appearance. And so all of that is well 24 So, again, hypothetically 24 25 understood, I'm saying. 25 singling out that condition and expressing 83 85 And, again, it's embraced in the uncertainty as to whether it would be 1 1 2 Dunlop specifications and where they say in the 2 consequential, is my basis for therefore specifications, tires with these conditions 3 calling it a contributing factor instead of a 3 quote, are not fit for use, end of quote. 4 proximate cause. 4 O Okay. In your tire report you don't cite any 5 That's what Dunlop says in their own words. 5 6 And so -- and so and it's a 6 sources or facts that support your position 7 consequence that goes way beyond cosmetic. For 7 that the skim rubber thickness over the chafer 8 fabric was a contributing factor. Are there 8 heaven's sake, these conditions can't even be seen in a tire that's mounted on a rim. So any 9 additional documents that help you -- that 9 10 claim as to it being cosmetic is -- in my view support this opinion? 10 11 A That's where this additional publication from 11 Frank Herzegh is, I think, of value where that 12 O I just want to confirm that when you're saying 12 13 issue was specifically addressed. And he is 13 its consequences, that it's the consequences of 14 rather thorough in describing what happened. 14 excess flash and non fill in the bead that you He invented the tubeless tire. 15 believe are well understood, that that's what 15 16 And one of the things he did to accomplish that 16 this reference is. was thicken up the rubber significantly over 17 A Yes. The nature, appearance, and consequences 17 are well understood. I'm referring to all 18 the chafer to convert a tube-type tire to a 18 19 tubeless tire. 19 three of those when I say is -- are well Q Other than that, any other documents that would 20 understood, yes. 20 Q Okay. And is there any peer-reviewed articles 21 support the theory? 21 A I'm -- I can't think of any additional 22 that explains these well-understood 22 consequences of excess flash? 23 documents right now. 23 I don't know of any articles that specifically 24 You said in your -- in Exhibit 77 in your tire 24 address excess flash. Again, Frank Herzegh 25 report that the consequences are well 25

www.merrillcorp.com/law

166 168 1 If by that you mean a sample owner's manual for 1 a specialty in chemistry. 2 this model year and make of motorcycle, I am 2 Q And you have not designed a motorcycle tire, 3 not sure if the manual that I have looked at 3 have you? represents that or not. Probably does not. A I have not designed a motorcycle tire, that's 4 4 5 It's a touring bike, a touring motorcycle. 5 correct. 6 That's all I know. Q And you would not hire yourself to design a 6 7 7 Okay. Have you -- prior to submitting your motorcycle tire; is that true? 8 report did you read or review the driver's 8 A I would not hire myself to design a motorcycle 9 license booklet for the State of Wisconsin? 9 tire, that's correct. 10 I have not seen the driver's license booklet 10 Okay. And is it true that you've never 11 for the State of Wisconsin, no. 11 designed a component for a motorcycle tire? 12 O I just have a few more questions here. Is it 12 A I have never designed a component for a 13 true that you don't hold -- let me rephrase 13 motorcycle tire, that's correct. 14 that. That's a bad question. 14 Q Okay. And you do not hold any patents on tire 15 15 design or tire components? You don't hold an engineering A I do not hold any patents on tire design or 16 degree of any kind; is that correct? 16 17 A I have a bachelor of science with a major in 17 tire components, that's correct. 18 physics degree instead of an engineering 18 Q So it's your opinion that the tire pressure in 19 this case reached a single digit at the time of 19 degree. Q Okay. And you're not a professional licensed 20 the accident? 20 engineer in any state; correct? 21 A Yes. It's my opinion that the tire pressure 2.1 22 reached single digits. In a broader sense, 22 A I'm a scientist as opposed to an engineer and 23 probably below ten PSI but certainly greater 23 so I do not have a professional licensed 24 than -- significantly greater than zero PSI at 24 engineering degree. 25 Q Did you think you do not have a -- you're a 25 the time of the catastrophic failure. 169 167 Q And you believe that because the tire reached 1 scientist and you do not have a professional 1 2 temperature of approximately 482 degrees 2 engineering degree? 3 Fahrenheit? 3 A That's correct. I'm a scientist as opposed A 482 degrees Fahrenheit, the official melting 4 to -- that's what my degree is in. And so I do 4 temperature of polyester cords and that's the 5 not have a degree -- a license or whatever it's 5 basis of that conclusion. 6 called, as a professional engineer. 6 Q And you believe that it reached a single digit 7 Q Okay. So just for the record to be clear, you 7 do not have a license as a professional 8 pressure level due to a slow leak caused by 8 excess flash and non fill in the bead area? 9 9 engineer? A Yes. It reached a single digit pressure due to 10 A I do not have a license as a professional 1.0 11 a slow leak and the only reasonable explanation engineer, that's correct. 11 O Okay. And you have never taken a course on 12 for the slow leak was excess flash and non fill 12 13 in the head area. 13 tire design or tire manufacturing? 14 A I'm not aware of the existence of courses on 14 O And you believe that this slow leak occurred 15 tire design or tire manufacturing but beyond my 15 over the course of Mr. Bishop's last trip on experience at Uniroyal, I don't have -- I have 16 the day of the accident? 16 17 Yes. I believe this leak occurred during the 17 not taken any such courses. 18 course of that trip, culminating with the Q Okay. And you're not a chemist or a chemical 18 pressure that we -- that I've stated and the 19 19 engineer? 20 catastrophic failure resultant from that 20 A I'm a physicist, not a chemist or a chemical 21 pressure. engineer, that's correct. 21 And you do not consider yourself to be a tire 22 Okay. Now, you don't know what the inflation 22 O 23 23 pressure -- we don't -- strike that. I'll compounder? A I'm a physicist as opposed to a tire 24 rephrase it. 24 compounder, which generally would be viewed as 25 You don't know for certain what 25

www.merrillcorp.com/law